SENATE/HOUSE BILL XXXX

State of Washington 6'

67th Legislature

2021 Regular Session

By Senators/Representatives ...

AN ACT Relating to enhancing public trust and confidence in 1 2 law enforcement and strengthening law enforcement accountability 3 by: excluding police accountability topics from being subject to 4 bargaining in law enforcement union contracts; precluding use of arbitration for law enforcement officer disciplinary appeals; 5 and specifying mandatory grounds for discharge from employment 6 for law enforcement officers; adding new sections to chapter 7 41.56 RCW. 8

9

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

2526

27

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. The legislature finds that:

(1) Policing is unique among governmental functions, due to the authority and broad discretion of police officers to engage in state-sanctioned use of force, taking of life, and taking of liberty. For this reason, collective bargaining agreements and accountability mechanisms for law enforcement unions and guilds have significantly different impacts on the public than those of other public sector employees. More accountability to the public is necessary than law enforcement collective bargaining agreements and law enforcement disciplinary appeals arbitration provide.

(2) Considerable local and national evidence demonstrates that law enforcement union and guild collective bargaining agreements, and the use of arbitration for appeals of law enforcement discipline, have resulted in shielding officers from accountability for misconduct, including use of excessive force.

SB/HB XXXX

These barriers to accountability have resulted in the reinstatement of law enforcement officers despite having engaged in serious misconduct harming members of the public, undermining public trust and confidence in the work of law enforcement

5 agencies.

(3) The legislature recognizes that law enforcement accountability systems, structures, policies, and practices that are transparent and effective, and mete out fair, impartial, and swift discipline commensurate to wrongdoing, will help ensure the civil and constitutional rights of the public are upheld, reduce misconduct, and maintain law enforcement agency cultures of accountability and adherence to policy and law.

(4) This bill is intended to reduce barriers to police accountability but does not alter any other collective bargaining rights of law enforcement officers nor does it change collective bargaining rights for public employees other than law enforcement. All public employees maintain the right to bargain with respect to matters related to wages and benefits.

181920

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

6

7

8

10 11

1213

14

1516

17

Sec. 2. Definitions applicable to this bill only. For this section and sections 3 through 6 of this act the following definitions apply:

- (1) "Law enforcement officer" means "general authority Washington peace officers" employed by "general authority Washington law enforcement agencies" as defined in RCW 10.93.020(1) and (3).
- (2) "Law enforcement union" means any bargaining entity that engages in collective bargaining on behalf of law enforcement officers.

2930

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 41.56 RCW to read as follows:
- 33 (1) A collective bargaining agreement with a law 34 enforcement union shall not:

Commented [A2]: Staff to select correct term, here and throughout - is it bill or chapter or other?

Commented [A3]: Legislative staff to figure out where to put this, to carry out the intent that this bill applies to law enforcement only and not to any other public employees.

- (a) Require a waiting period before an officer is 1 2 interviewed by the officer's employer or an agent of the employer about a use of force incident or other significant 3 4 incident involving alleged misconduct, or allow an officer to 5 watch video recordings of the incident or talk to other officers 6 about the incident prior to submitting to an interview. An 7 immediate interview of an officer alleged to have been involved in a use of force incident or other significant incident 8 9 involving alleged misconduct, or to have witnessed such an incident, must be allowed; 10
 - (b) Preclude the investigation of a complaint or the imposition of discipline by the officer's employer based on a time limit for filing of a complaint or concluding an investigation;

11

1213

14

15

1617

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

- (c) Limit the manner in which complaints of misconduct are initiated, investigated, litigated, or otherwise resolved by the officer's employer;
- 18 (d) Prevent the officer's employer from pursuing other 19 incidents or types of misconduct revealed during an 20 investigation;
- 21 (e) Limit retention, disclosure, use, or review of body 22 camera and in-car video footage by the officer's employer;
 - (f) Limit secondary employment management, oversight, and policies established by the officer's employer;
 - (g) Limit internal review boards or early intervention systems established by the officer's employer or local jurisdiction;
 - (h) Limit the authority, composition, or responsibilities of civilian oversight entities established by the officer's employer, local jurisdiction, or other governing body;
- 31 (i) Limit the use or authority of civilian supervisors and 32 investigators by the employer or civilian oversight entity 33 receiving complaints and conducting investigations;

1 (j) Limit full subpoena authority for civilian oversight 2 bodies, or otherwise limit civilian oversight and review;

3

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- (k) Limit public access to, retention of, or disclosure of, information and records regarding incidents, complaints, investigations, findings, disciplinary decisions, litigation, appeals, or decertification of officers;
- (1) Limit a chief's or sheriff's authority to remove an officer from duty or place an officer on leave;
- (m) Limit the procedures or timelines for the retention or destruction of officer misconduct and employment records;
- (n) Allow sealing, removal, redaction, or destruction of information in officer misconduct and employment records;
- (o) Allow officers or union representatives to raise previously undisclosed information at disciplinary appeal hearings where that information was known and not disclosed by the officer or union representative during the underlying investigation;
- (p) Require a specific standard of review or that the burden of proof in order to find misconduct, or to impose or uphold discipline, must be greater than a preponderance. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed as limiting a local jurisdiction's authority to apply a standard for appeals that is more deferential to management than a preponderance;
- (q) Allow the use of arbitration to decide disciplinary appeals;
- (r) Include any provision addressing the processes or information regarding imposition of discipline, hearings, appeals, or decertification for misconduct;
- (s) Limit the officer's employer or civilian oversight entity regarding who shall investigate, and in what manner, complaints of criminal misconduct;
- 32 (t)Prohibit the employer from releasing misconduct and 33 employment information to prospective employers or obtaining

such information from prior employers of prospective law
enforcement officers; or

- (u) Limit the composition, appointment requirements, policies, procedures, or rules of civil service commissions or public safety civil service commissions.
- (2) The provisions of subsection (1) of this section shall not be subject to bargaining with law enforcement unions and may not be modified by collective bargaining with law enforcement unions.
- (3) Any provision of a contract or collective bargaining agreement entered or amended after the effective date of this act that is contrary to this section is void and unenforceable.

Sec. 4. A new section is added to chapter 41.56 RCW to read as follows:

- (1) Notwithstanding the provisions of RCW 41.56.122, discipline of law enforcement officers for misconduct shall not be subject to arbitration, but instead shall be subject to appeal to a civil service commission or a public safety civil service commission if a jurisdiction has one.
- (2) A party may appeal the decision of a civil service commission or public safety civil service commission to a superior court only if the decision violates an explicit, well-defined, and dominant public policy established by case law.
- (3) For discipline of law enforcement officers for misconduct, the following procedures must be followed:
- (a) The civil service commission or public safety civil service commission shall uphold the discipline imposed on a law enforcement officer and may not reduce the discipline imposed if the finding of misconduct is upheld, unless it finds that the discipline was arbitrary, capricious, or based on an illegal reason. Deference to factual findings is required and de novo review is not permitted.

Commented [A4]: Question for legislative staff: should this be worded differently? Should it include "that is contrary to 'any provision of' this section," e.g.?

Commented [A5]: Is the Administrative Procedures Act implicated by this section?

1 (b) Appeals of discipline imposed on law enforcement 2 officers shall be governed as follows:

- (i) Hearings, except for commission deliberations, shall be open to the public;
- (ii) All requests by the officer or union for an appeal shall be made within ten days of receiving the notification of discipline, such appeals shall be heard within ninety days of the imposition of the discipline, and the decision shall be entered within thirty days of the completion of the hearing;
- (iii) The written decision resulting from the appeal shall be made available to the parties and the public and subject to disclosure under the public records act. The decision shall be final and binding without further appeal other than as set forth in Section 4(2) of this Chapter; and
- (iv) Commission members hearing appeals must be appointed or selected on the basis of merit, have the necessary subject matter expertise, not have a conflict of interest, and not have worked for a law enforcement agency for any period within the ten years preceding appointment or selection.
- (c) The commission or jurisdiction, including the Washington state patrol, may choose to use an administrative law judge or hearing examiner in lieu of a civil service commission or public safety civil service commission to hear officer disciplinary appeals, provided that the administrative law judge or hearing examiner is selected on the basis of merit, has the necessary subject matter expertise, does not have a conflict of interest, has not worked for a law enforcement agency for any period within the ten years preceding appointment or selection, and is on contract or staff for the commission or jurisdiction, not selected on a case-by-case basis.
- (d) The commission or jurisdiction shall adopt rules to effectuate $\frac{1}{2}$
- (4) Any provision of a contract or collective bargaining agreement entered or amended after the effective date of this

1 act, that is contrary to this section, is void and 2 unenforceable.

Commented [A6]: Same question for legislative staff: add "any provision of" before "this section"?

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. A new section is added to chapter 41.56 RCW to read as follows:

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

2930

Commented [A7]: This section like the ones above should go in a place that makes clear it applies to law enforcement officers only.

(1) The legislature has determined that when law enforcement officers commit certain misconduct impacting the public interest, discharge from employment is the appropriate discipline. The employer may not consider past discipline practices as an extenuating circumstance and may not impose discipline other than discharge based on past practice for similar misconduct. The following specific misconduct shall result in discharge of law enforcement officers:

(a) Use of excessive force, as defined by ______, or was present, aware of another officer's use of excessive force, able to intervene, and failed to intervene or report another officer's use of excessive force;

- (b) Knowingly making misleading, deceptive, untrue, or fraudulent statements or representations during an official investigation, in law enforcement documents or reports, or while testifying under oath;
- (c) Theft or misappropriation of funds or property, or use of the position of law enforcement officer for personal gain through fraud or misrepresentation;
- (d) Serious or repeated harassment or discrimination based on a legally protected class defined in chapter 49.60 RCW;
- (e) Conviction or the commission of a felony offense or gross misdemeanor domestic violence offense under the laws of this state, or of a comparable offense under federal law or the laws of another state;
- 31 (f) Acting with deliberate indifference to a substantial 32 risk of harm to a person in custody;
- 33 (g) Engaging in nonconsensual sexual contact with a person 34 in custody; or

Commented [A8]: There will be a separate bill currently called the de-escalation bill that will define what constitutes excessive force; our intent is to reference the de-escalation bill here and make them consistent.

1 (h) Violations of duties established under chapter 2 10.93.160.

- (2) Nothing contained in this section is intended to prohibit a law enforcement officer from being discharged for misconduct not contained herein.
- (3) Any provision of a contract or collective bargaining agreement entered or amended on or after the effective date of this bill that is contrary to or inconsistent with this section is void and unenforceable.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. A new section is added to chapter 41.56 RCW to read as follows:

- (1) The state, as to the state patrol, and cities, towns, counties or other municipalities or political subdivisions, must adopt laws or policies establishing procedures for receiving and investigating complaints of law enforcement misconduct and imposing discipline. Such laws and policies must be consistent with this act, and the process by which a jurisdiction proposes and adopts such laws or policies must include reasonable opportunity for public review and comment, as well as review and comment by civilian oversight officials if a jurisdiction has them, taking into consideration challenges to access such as availability of public transportation, differences in access to technology and the internet, and disability and language barriers.
- (2) Local laws and policies, including but not limited to provisions labeled "officer bill of rights", memoranda of understanding, settlement agreements, or other agreements that are inconsistent with the requirements of state law, shall not be enacted and are preempted and repealed, regardless of the nature of the code, charter, or home rule status of the city, town, county, or municipality.

Commented [A9]: Does the bill need to reference 41.56.100(1)?

Commented [A10]: Add this to a place in the statute where it is clear that this is limited to law enforcement union collective bargaining agreements only

Commented [A11]: Legislative staff should advise on proper term here.

--- END ---